



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/260,624	03/01/1999	TAKANORI OHNISHI	A-67648-1/RF	6772
75	590 05/12/2004		EXAM	INER
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT			LACOURCIERE, KAREN A	
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941114187			1635	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
. X
1
~ 1
$\Gamma \Lambda$
\sim

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/260,624	OHNISHI, TAKANORI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karen A. Lacourciere	1635		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If NO - Failur Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum or to reply within the set or extended period for rep	(30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ly will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). s after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1)⊠)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10,12,13,19,22,25</u> a	and 28 is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 25</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8, 10, 12, 19, 22, 28</u> i	s/are rejected.			
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[] /	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the Internati	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment	t(s)				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)		Other:

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Amended claims 9 and 25 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: As originally presented, the claimed invention was drawn to methods of inhibiting cell proliferation and prolonging the survival of an individual by administering antisense targeted to RAD51. Claims 9 and 25 have been amended to be directed to methods wherein the survival of a cell is prolonged, which are actually methods with the opposite effect of the originally claimed methods because the originally claimed methods of prolonging survival would actually be the result of a decrease in survival of a proliferating cell.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 9 and 25 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 12, 19, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ohnishi et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 245, pages 319-324, 1998).

Ohnishi et al. disclose methods wherein SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:2 are administered to proliferating cells in vivo and in vitro and further wherein the cells are administered radiation treatment. These methods result in decreased proliferation of the cells and provide a treatment for cancer cells. They include methods wherein the oligonucleotide is administered by injection. Ohnishi et al. do not explicitly state that the cells are sensitized to chemotherapeutic agents, however, the methods used by Ohnishi et al. are identical to the claimed methods and it would be expected inherently that the cells are more sensitive to chemotherapeutic agents.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taki et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research communications 223, 434-438 (1996).

Art Unit: 1635

Taki et al. disclose treating cells in culture with a antisense comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2 and further disclose treating said cells by irradiation. These methods result in decreased proliferation of the cells and provide a treatment for cancer cells. Taki et al. do not explicitly state that the cells are sensitized to chemotherapeutic agents, however, the methods used by Taki et al. are identical to the claimed methods and it would be expected inherently that the cells are more sensitive to chemotherapeutic agents.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1635

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (571)

272-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (571) 272-0760. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen A. Lacourciere May 10, 2004